

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,638	12/16/2003	Cheng Siew Tay	P-6121-US	3526	
49444 7	49444 7590 09/25/2006			EXAMINER	
PEARL COHEN ZEDEK LATZER, LLP			NGUYEN, HUNG THANH		
1500 BROADWAY, 12TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2841		
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/735,638	TAY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HUNG T. NGUYEN	2841				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Do	ecember 2003					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
<i>'</i>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-38</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment/o)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/735,638

Art Unit: 2841



DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-8, 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Geng et al. (US 6,833,615).

Regarding claim 1: Geng et al. discloses in figures 4-5, a printed circuit board comprising: pads (104) suitable to be soldered to respective solder-balls of a device, where a perimeter of a pad (104) has a crack initiation point at a location where cracks in a solder-ball are anticipated to start after said solder-ball is soldered (it appears crack initiation point anticipated after solder-ball is soldered, see figures) to said pad (104), and where said pad (104) merges with a trace (see column 4, not shown in figures) along a portion of said perimeter that does not include a vicinity of said crack initiation point wherein said portion is not longer than the length of one quarter of said perimeter (it appears in figures 4-5 that portion is not longer than one quarter of perimeter).

Regarding claim 3, 10: Geng et al. discloses in figures 4-5, at lest one of said pads is a solder-mask-defined pad (102).

Regarding claim 4, 11: Geng et al. discloses figures 4-5, at least one of said pads is substantially round (it appears pads are rounded, see figures).

Regarding claim 6: Geng et al. discloses in figure 4-5, the pad is substantially round (explain above) and a tangent to said perimeter at a middle point of said portion is substantially parallel to

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 10/735,638

Art Unit: 2841

a crack propagation direction for said solder-ball (it appears pad is tangent to the perimeter at a

middle point, see figures).

Regarding claim 7, 13: Geng et al. discloses in figures 4-5, a straight line joining said crack

initiation point and a middle point of said portion is parallel to a crack propagation direction for

said solder-ball (see figures).

Regarding claim 8: Geng et al. discloses in figures 4-5, a printed circuit board comprising: pads

(104) suitable to be soldered to respective solder-balls of a device, said pads (104) having

microvias (115) located therein, where a center of a microvia (the center of element 115) of a pad

(104) is farther than a center of said pad (it shows in figures 4-5 that via is farther than a center

of the pad) from a crack initiation point located on a perimeter of said pad (104) at a location

where cracks in a solder-ball are anticipated to start after said solder-ball is soldered to said pad

(it appears crack initiation point anticipated after solder-ball is soldered, see figures).

Regard claim 12, 14: Geng et al. discloses in figures 4-5, at least one point of the perimeter of

said microvia (explain above) is located on the perimeter of said pad (element 115 is located on

the perimeter of pad, see figures).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2841

Claims 2, 9, 16, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geng et al. (US 6,833,615) in view of Asai et al. (US 6,831,234).

Regarding claim 2, 9, 16, 19: Geng et al. disclose all elements as described above with respect to claim 1 except, Geng et al. does not disclose at least one of said pads is a metal defined pad.

Asai et al. discloses at least one of said pads is a metal defined pad (see column 6, lines 58-65).

Geng and Asai et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor to make PCB.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make pad of Geng et al. to have metal as taught by Asai for the benefit of conductivity.

Claims 15, 17, 18, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geng et al. (US 6,833,615) and further in view of Barton (US 6,724,194).

Regarding claim 15, 18: Geng et al. discloses in figures 4-5, the pads (104) soldered to respective solder-balls of said device, said pads (104) having microvias (115) located therein, where a center of a microvia (115) of a pad (104) is farther (it shows in figures 4-5 that via is farther than a center of the pad) than a center of said pad from a crack initiation point located on a perimeter of said pad at a location where cracks in a solder-ball are anticipated to start after said solder-ball is soldered to said pad (104).

Geng et al. does not disclose the printed circuit board has a voltage monitor installed thereon.

Barton discloses the printed circuit board has a voltage monitor (2) installed thereon.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,638 Page 5

Art Unit: 2841

Geng et al. and Barton are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor to

make circuit boards.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to make circuit board of Geng et al. to have a voltage monitor as taught by Barton for

the benefit of detecting the fluctuation of voltages.

Regard claim 17, 20: Geng et al. discloses all element of the printed circuit board as described

above with respect to claim 15 except, Geng et al. does not disclose the printed circuit board is a

mother board.

However, it is old and well known for one ordinary skill in the art to have via, microvia on

mother board

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to make motherboard to have via and micro via for the benefit of assembly

components.

Relevant Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Yasue et al. (US 6,010,768) teaches the multilayer of printed circuit board, Inagaki et al. (US 6,724,638) teaches the printed circuit board, Wang et al. teaches the technique of bonding layers, Shirai et al. (US 6,365,843) teaches the multilayers with via, Enomoto et al. (US 5,589,255) teaches the adhesive plating for circuit board, Geng et al. (US 6,833,615) teaches the off line via, Barton (US 6,724,194)

teaches the volt monitor. .

Application/Control Number: 10/735,638 Page 6

Art Unit: 2841

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUNG T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-5983. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KAMMIE CUNEO can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

HN

HUNG NGUYEN

9/6/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800